

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,625	10/18/2004	Alan Christopher Spivey	056258-5080	8626
9629 7590 04/23/2007 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER	
1111 PENNSYLV	VANIA AVENUE NW		GROSS, CHRISTOPHER M	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DA	YS ·	04/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·		10/511,625	SPIVEY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher M. Gross	1639			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	lay 2005.				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	-x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-44 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers	•				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notic	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) cmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	ate			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:				

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Responsive to communications entered 5/23/2005. Claims 1-44 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-40,44 drawn to a method of preparing a conjugate molecule.

Group II, claim(s) 41-43, drawn to a solid support.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature of groups I-II appears to be related to a method of preparing a conjugated molecule, with steps outlined in claim 1.

However, the method set forth in claim 1 was known in the art at the time the invention was filed (Plunkett et al 1997 JOC 2885-2893), therein the technical feature linking all the inventions of groups I-II does not constitute a *special* technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, because it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Each structure is patently distinct as is its method of preparation. The method of group I may be used to prepare the soild support of Plunkett et al in addition to the solid support set forth as invention II. Therefore invention I lacks unity with the product of invention II.

Art Unit: 1639

Species Election

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are indicated in **bold** as follows:

(From claims 5-14) Applicant is required to elect single specific species of **monomer** specified as to atom and bond without the use of variable groups (e.g. R,Ar', etc.) Applicant is strongly encouraged to elect using a chemical drawing. Currently, claims 2,5-14 are generic.

(From claim 15) Applicant is required to elect single specific species of **protecting group** specified as to atom and bond without the use of variable groups (e.g. R,R', etc.) Applicant is strongly encouraged to elect using a chemical drawing. Currently, claims 2, 15 are generic.

(From claims 32-40) Applicant is required to elect single specific species of **Boronic complex** specified as to atom and bond without the use of variable groups (e.g. R, C₁₋₈ alkyl etc.) Applicant is strongly encouraged to elect using a chemical drawing. Currently, claims 2, 32-40 are generic.

(From claims 41-44) Applicant is required to elect single specific species of **solid support** specified as to atom and bond without the use of variable groups (e.g. R¹,R², etc.) Applicant is strongly encouraged to elect using a chemical drawing. Currently, claims 41-43 are generic for group II; claims 2, 44 are generic for group I.

Art Unit: 1639

According to the guidelines in Section (f)(i)(a) of Annex B of the PCT

Administrative Instructions, the special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2

shall be considered to be met when all the alternatives of a Markush-group are of similar nature. For chemical alternatives, such as the claimed sequences, the Markush group shall be regarded as being of similar nature when

- (A) all alternatives have a common property or activity **and**
- (B)(1) a common structure is preset, i.e., a significant structure is shared by all of the alternatives or
- (B)(2) in cases where the common structure cannot be the unifying criteria, all alternatives belong to an art recognized class of compounds in the art to which the invention pertains.

The species listed above are considered to be each separate inventions for the following reasons:

The genera set forth above include species which do not share a common core structure. For example, the monomers, boronic complexes and solid supports do not share a common ring configuration and are not expected to have similar activity or properties. While the protecting groups may be said to share a common property of providing protection, they do not share a common structural element throughout the claimed genus.

(From claims 17-28) Applicant is required to elect single specific species of **ipso -dergermylation condition** from those set forth in claims 17-28 (e.g.

Art Unit: 1639

protdegermylation using HCI, electrophilic degermylation using F⁺, etc.) Currently, claims 2, 17-28 are generic.

Each ipso-dergermylation condition does not share a common technical feature in that each requires materially different steps to perform.

(From claims 29-31) Applicant is required to elect single specific species of halogenation followed by metallation condtions from those set forth in claims 29-31 (e.g.halogenation using iodine followed by metallation with organoboron, etc.) Currently, claims 2, 29,31 are generic.

Each ipso-dergermylation condition does not share a common technical feature in that each requires materially different steps to perform.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1639

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Gross whose telephone number is (571)272-4446. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

Art Unit: 1639

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Douglas Schultz can be reached on 571 272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher M Gross Examiner Art Unit 1639

cg

